UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,086	03/10/2005	Junya Kaku	050073	2821
	7590 06/13/200 T OS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W.			HARVEY, DAVID E	
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/527,086	KAKU, JUNYA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID E. HARVEY	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/527,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of "prior art" as set forth under the heading "PRIOR ART" on pages 1-2 of the instant specification and Japanese Patent Document #4-324777 to Kitayoshi.

I. Applicant's admitted "Prior art":

In lines 11-19 on page 1 of the instant specification, applicant describes a "prior art" video content editing apparatus in which a first moving image signal and first audio signal are respectively combined with a second moving image signal and a second audio signal are combined to form respective combined moving image signal and audio signal contents.

In the paragraph stating in the last 6 lines of page 1, applicant notes that when the "prior art" apparatus was used to combine video and audio contents of varying format, the combined respective combined moving image signal and audio signal took on different playback lengths. That is the combined audio content would play for a period longer/shorter that the combined video content.

Claims 1-2 differ from the admitted prior art in that claims 1-2 set forth circuitry for compensating for the differences in playback time.

II. The showing of Kitayoshi:

Kitayoshi has been cited because it discloses an apparatus for synchronizing audio and video components of a presentation having different playback periods. As shown in Figure 1, and described on the cover page, the apparatus comprises:

- A) A video memory (@ 1) for storing the image signal component of the presentation;
- B) An audio memory (@ 2) for storing the audio signal component of the presentation;
- C) Circuitry (@ 3, 4, 8) for detecting the reproduction "size"/length of the image signal component that is stored in the video memory (@ 1);

Art Unit: 2621

- D) Circuitry (@5, 6, 9) for detecting the reproduction "size"/length of the audio signal component that is stored in audio memory (@ 2);
- E) Circuitry (@ 10) for detecting the difference between the reproduction "size"/length of the respective image signal and audio signal components;
- F) **Optimal reading frequency calculating circuitry** (e.g., @ 12 and 15) for calculating and optimal reading "frequencies"/times for the respective video and audio components that are stored in the video and audio memory based on the detected difference in reproduction "size"/length;

whereby the calculated optimal reproduction (i.e. reading) frequencies are "assigned"/provided to reading circuitries of the respective video (@1) and audio (@2) memories, via circuitry that not shown in the Figure 1 (i.e., corresponding to the recited "assignment means"), for causing the read times of the respective memories to be optimally adjusted such that the reproduction "size"/length of the respective image and audio signal components become equal [Note: the cover page; and Figure 2]

Claims 1-2 differ from the showing of Kitayoshi in that cover page of Kitayoshi does not describe the image signals and the audio signals as being derived from the combining of respective first and second moving image signal contents and respective first and second audio signal contents.

III. Obviousness:

In using the admitted "prior art" described by applicant, one skilled in the art would have been faced with the unequal playback time problem described by the patent owner when editing files of different format. It would have been well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art to have turned to the prior art of Kitayoshi to resolve the problem given that correcting such unequal playback problems was what the apparatus described by Kitayoshi was designed to do.

¹ The examiner maintains that the read circuits of the memories must be adjusted according to the optimum read period and, as such, circuitry must be provided to cause the adjustments to be made.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,086 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

4. Claims 3-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID E. HARVEY whose telephone number is (571) 272-7345. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:00AM to 3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DAVID E HARVEY/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621

DAVID E HARVEY Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621